IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA GAINESVILLE DIVISION

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Docket No. 94CR1009MMP

Plaintiff,

Gainesville, Florida May 3, 2000

9:00 a.m.

VS.

JOHN KNOCK and ALBERT MADRID,

Defendants.

VOLUME 2

Seco-07

TRANSCRIPT OF FIRST DAY OF JURY TRIAL WHEN HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE MAURICE M. PAUL, AND A JURY.

APPEARANCES:

For the Government:

JAMES C. HANKINSON, ESQUIRE

Assistant United States Attorney

111 North Adams Street

Fourth Floor

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

-AND-

ROBERT DAVIES, ESQUIRE

Assistant United States Attorney

104 North Main Street

Fourth Floor

Gainesville, Florida 32601

For Defendant Knock:

MICHAEL KENNEDY, ESQUIRE ROBERT RIONDA, ESQUIRE

425 Park Avenue

Suite 2600

New York, New York 10022

APPEARANCES: (Continued.) For Defendant Madrid: RANDOLPH E. DAAR, ESQUIRE Pier 5 Law Offices Pier 5 North, The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94111 Court Reporter: Mark N. Stuart, RPR-CPE Official Court Reporter Post Office Box 1328 Gainesville, Florida 32602-1328 352-380-0399

1									
2		INDEX							
3		WITNESSES FOR THE GOVERNMENT:							
4					Direct	Cross	Redirect		
5	AGENT CA	ARL LILLEY			12	45/70	95		
6	AGENT MI	KE LEE			109		95		
7	GEOFFREY	GEOFFREY KRAEMER				120			
8	CLIFTON	CLIFTON BROWN			110 122		~ ~		
9	LOUIS AC	LOUIS ACEVEDO			185	165/178	8 181		
10	JULIE RO	JULIE ROBERTS			190				
11					190				
12	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS								
13	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION PAGE ADMITTED							
14	3	Sonya Vacca Facsimile 23				.ED			
15	1A	Tape				30			
16	1B	Tape			30				
17	1C	Tape							
18	1D	Transcript of Exhibits 1A-C				30			
19	2A-2F	Photographs			C	30			
20	12	Grenhagen Passport				38			
21	13	Grenhagen Passport				44			
22	113	Knock Fingerprints				44			
23	88A-X	Photographs			110				
24	112	Fingerprint Lifts			115				
25						117			

1		GOVERNMEN	UT EXHIBITS
2	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE ADMITTED
3	16	T. 1	
4		Diagram	140
5	10	Proposal to Lilley	144
6	5	Banking Deposit Ticke	t 160
7	30	Letter to Ms. Vacca	162
8	83A	Photograph	209
9	83B	Photograph	209
10			
11			
12			
		*	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18		•	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24		٠	
25		•	
4.5			

THE COURT: Be seated, folks. 1 My law clerk said you all wanted to see me. 2 MR. KENNEDY: May I first introduce my law associate, 3 4 Robert Rionda. 5 MR. RIONDA: Good morning. MR. KENNEDY: He has met the other colleagues. 6 have a very brief matter, and then Mr. Daar has a matter. 7 Now that the evidence is about to be presented, they 8 will be bringing in evidence under the conspiracy hearsay 9 exception. I don't want to interfere with that, the flow of 10 11 their presentation. 12 So I would like from the Court, at the moment you think it appropriate, to give the jury an instruction that this 13 evidence is coming in subject to being tied up with proof of 14 the existence of a conspiracy, and he's actually in it. If we 15 could have also have a standing objection to that, I think that 16 will facilitate the record and facilitate the presentations. 17 MR. DAAR: We would join in that request on behalf of 18 19 Mr. Madrid, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Government. MR. HANKINSON: Judge, I don't have any problem if 21 the Court thinks it is appropriate to instruct them of that. 22 don't know whether there is a standard instruction for that. 23 2.4 I do object to a standing objection. It makes it impossible on appeal to sort out what was objected to, what was 25

not objected to. I think it's appropriate to make a timely objection.

THE COURT: Yeah.

It's not my practice to advise the jury of, you know, that it's all being received subject to being tied up. If you don't think it's tied up, you make a motion. And if I don't think it is tied up, you know, then you are going to get a directed verdict or we'll strike it.

MR. KENNEDY: That is fair enough, Judge.

What I didn't want is the appearance of having to object to every question --

THE COURT: I hear that.

MR. KENNEDY: -- on a matter that we all understand.

THE COURT: Also if there is -- we understand the theory that it's coming under. But the government is right in one respect. If -- it's hard on -- to set issues on appeal if you don't know what is really being objected to. And so, you know, if you have an objection just state it. There won't be any argument. I don't like arguments on the objections. I generally rule without argument from either side.

MR. KENNEDY: Understood.

THE COURT: If it becomes a problem just -- just let us know and we'll take care of it.

MR. KENNEDY: Will do. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, I got a note from the jury this

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 23

2.4

morning. I'll just read it to you.

It has to do with some exhibit Mr. Hankinson says:

Can we have a copy of Exhibit 2, the one with all of the names, or will there be time during the proceeding to write it down as we try to keep all of the names in perspective. That is what it says. I'm not going to do anything you all just figure it out.

File this please, ma'am.

I was wondering also, because of the problems that we had with the viewing of this yesterday, do you have hard copies of whatever it is that you are going to be putting up on the screen?

MR. DAVIES: I do today, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That would help me. I could not position myself yesterday. I thought I could. And I didn't want to go sit down with the jury. So it may be, Mr. Kennedy, and them might like copies of it also. That way, they don't have to move if they don't want to move. They see what you are doing. That is good. Then you can move the screen back. So whatever is appropriate, I have no problem.

MR. DAVIES: Does the Court want me to hand hard copies to the jury?

THE COURT: No. They don't need that. I don't have any objection. But the defense may. But, if you are going to put it up on the screen and it -- if we can -- as long as they

can see it we're okay.

MR. KENNEDY: Yes.

MR. DAAR: Your Honor, I have two minor matters. One discovery matter. The minor matter is I wanted to inform the Court that unfortunately my hearing aid was working steadily for years is apparently malfunctioning. So my helper is trying to get repairs as we speak.

Generally I'm able to hear everything, but there may be times that I ask for your indulgence and move closer to the microphone and so forth.

THE COURT: We can handle that. It's important that you hear. So if you don't, let us know and we'll take care of that.

MR. DAAR: Thank you, Your Honor.

The second item was the water. My understanding is that generally you do not approve of having water at the counsel table.

THE COURT: You can have water.

MR. DAAR: Thank you. We can bring our own cups.

THE COURT: We have some. We'll get -- during the recess, we'll try to find something. Make sure that they are clean.

Give them some clean water.

MR. DAAR: Much appreciated. The courtroom seems to be cooling off. I guess it's warm when you first come in and

turn the air conditioning on. Because I find myself sweating.

THE COURT: They said that they were doing the best that can be done with it.

MR. DAAR: Lastly, Your Honor, I just wanted to address the timing of the <u>Jencks</u> disclosures. The Court in its order said that the <u>Jencks</u> material should be disclosed in a timely fashion.

Yesterday, at the close of court, and then about 6:30 the defense received these transcripts, four transcripts, four or five DEA 6s, and two 10 to 15-page condensed notes of witnesses.

Just for the record, I would say it is about five inches of paper. Admittedly, Your Honor, some of those transcripts were available to the defense, and we anticipated the government calling the witnesses and we obtained those.

But, nonetheless, the Court is aware we didn't have an official witness list until Friday. The transcripts, I urge the Court should move right along time, they are public record. The prosecutor could have called me up and said: Mr. Darr, do you have those? If I said yes, his duty to give them to me was over at that point.

But, the fact is, no one made that inquiry of me.

They had no way of knowing whether I had them or not. And

there is no real reason why they shouldn't have been turned

over. There is no secrecy, no confidential informant in those

documents. To turn over four or five hundred pages of transcripts at that late hour seems to be a tactic -- seems to be a tactic to make me work late at night.

So I would ask that the Court enter some form of order, absent compelling circumstances, that <u>Jencks</u> production occur a little bit sooner than it is now, because it's not fair to cause the defense attorney to stay up all night or consequently have them ask for a continuation in the morning.

MR. HANKINSON: Judge, the bulk of what Mr. Daar is referring to is the Grenhagen trial transcript. Frankly, we were not under any obligation to provide that at all. It is a public record. I discussed that with Mr. Davies. He indicated he'd prefer to give it to them. We asked both defense counsel yesterday whether they had that transcript. Both indicated that they did.

A fair number of those witnesses are witnesses that are going to be called today. Our practice is going to be that at the end of court -- in the evening, we will give the defense attorneys a list of who we expect to be the witnesses the following day.

We will at that point give them copies of any materials that we believe to be <u>Jencks</u> material. I think it goes beyond our duties under the statute. I think it has proven to be a -- a workable methodology in the past. And I would hope the Court wouldn't order us to do anything else.

Frankly, other than the Grenhagen trial transcript, there isn't going to be, you know, an awful lot of <u>Jencks</u> material. There hasn't been a lot of <u>Jencks</u> material created in this case. So I don't think they are going to be staying up real late reading much. But that is what we intend our practice to be. And I think it's a reasonable and ordinary way of doing it.

THE COURT: Any additional?

MR. DAAR: Just briefly, Your Honor. As the Court is aware, it's an extremely complex case. There is a hundred and 20 witnesses.

I think that the matter of dissemination is not reasonable. I believe it is designed to impede our ability to prepare. The government has not advanced to the Court a reason why those things could not be furnished sooner. Previously the government stated to me that they didn't want to provide it prior to trial because they felt that the trial might not start and I would have the documents anyway.

Well, the trial has started now. And absent the government giving you a compelling reason why the <u>Jencks</u> shouldn't be disclosed in its entirety now, I would ask that the Court issue that order, that way it would allow me to prepare in a timely way and be able and ready every morning.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. HANKINSON: No, sir.

THE COURT: The procedure outlined by the government 1 I think is appropriate. If it shows -- it if it's shown later 2 that it's creating a hardship, I'm always open. 3 4 MR. DAAR: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Anything else? Anything else? 6 MR. HANKINSON: No, sir. 7 MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. Nothing else, Your Honor. 8 MR. DAAR: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Then let's bring the jury in. 10 (Jury in.) 11 THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. Welcome back to court. And if the government is ready to proceed, 12 13 please call your first witness. 14 MR. DAVIES: Carl Lilley. 15 (Witness sworn.) THE CLERK: Please have a seat and state your name 16 for the record and spell your last name. 17 THE WITNESS: My name is Carl Lilley. L-I-L-E-Y. 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. DAVIES: 21 THE COURT: Let me make a suggestion to you, 22 Mr. Darr. (Discussion off the record.) 23 24 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Davies. 25 Q. Where do you work?

- 1 A. I'm employed with the United States Drug Enforcement
- 2 | Administration.
- Q. And how long have you worked for the Drug Enforcement
- 4 | Administration?
- 5 A. I began my employment in March of 1987.
- 6 Q. Where are you currently assigned?
- 7 A. Denver, Colorado.
- 8 \mathbb{Q} . Did you used to work for DEA here in Gainesville?
- 9 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 10 Q. And did you have any law enforcement experience prior to
- 11 | working for DEA?
- 12 A. Yes, sir. Prior to coming with DEA I was with the
- 13 Suffolk, Virginia police department for almost ten years.
- 14 Q. In the course of your duties with DEA are you sometimes
- called on to work in undercover capacities?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, I am.
- 17 Q. Would you tell the jury what you mean by undercover
- 18 | capacity?
- 19 A. Undercover means assuming a role or an identity that is
- not that of a federal agent; posing as a drug trafficker.
- 21 Q. In the investigation that led to this trial did you work
- 22 | in an undercover capacity?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. And what identity did you assume in your undercover role
- 25 | in this case?

- A. I was a boat smuggler. Moving drugs from South America to the United States.
- 3 Q. And where did you operate out of?
- 4 A. Miami, Florida was the cover for this particular
- 5 | circumstance.
- Q. And did you use the name Earl Kelly as your undercover
- 7 | name?
- 8 A. Earl Kelly was my undercover name.
- 9 Q. Before we go into your undercover contacts in this case,
- 10 can you explain to the jury what started your investigation and
- 11 | led you to pretending to be Earl Kelly?
- 12 A. In June of 1998, a fugitive in the case --
- 13 Q. Excuse me. Do you mean 1993?
- 14 A. I'm sorry. 1993.
- A fugitive had turned himself in that had been
- 16 | indicted in the case out of Gainesville in the early '80s, '84,
- 17 | 1985, Clifton Brown. And his attorney came to the Gainesville
- office and met with myself and Assistant United States Attorney
- 19 David McGee.
- 20 Q. Without going into what Mr. Brown said, did Clifton Brown
- 21 describe any ongoing criminal activity?
- 22 A. Yes, sir, he did.
- 23 Q. What response did you have to that, if any?
- 24 A. The response was that we would initiate a case targeting
- 25 this organization.

- 1 Q. Did you ask Mr. Brown to make any phone calls or set up
- any meetings or anything like that?
- 3 A. Yes, sir, we did.
- 4 Q. What did you have him do?
- 5 A. While we were in the Bahamas we made a telephone call
- from the hotel that we were staying at to Matthew Martenyi in
- 7 | San Francisco.
- MR. KENNEDY: I need to know who the "we" is the
- 9 agent is referring to.
- 10 Q. Who made the phone call?
- 11 A. Clifton Brown made the telephone call.
- 12 |Q. After that phone call was made to Matthew Martenyi --
- where was Matthew Martenyi again?
- 14 A. San Francisco.
- 15 \mathbb{Q} . After that phone call was made, after that did you speak
- 16 on the phone with various participants of the group?
- 17 A. Over a period of time I did. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Did you meet with any members of the group in person?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 20 Q. Who was the first person you met with?
- 21 A. I met with an attorney from San Francisco, Matthew
- 22 Martenyi.
- 23 Q. When was it that you met him?
- 24 A. On July the 1st 1993.
- 25 Q. Where did you meet him?

- 1 A. At the Hilton Hotel on Southwest 13th Street in Gainesville.
- 3 \mathbb{Q} . Is that now a Sheraton?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And is that -- was that the Hilton Hotel in Gainesville,
- 6 | Florida in Alachua County in the Northern District of Florida?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Who was at that meeting at the Hilton Hotel?
- 9 A. Clifton Brown, investigator Jeff Nordberg with the
- Gainesville Police Department, Matthew Martenyi, myself.
- 11 Q. Was Investigator Nordberg there undercover?
- 12 A. Yes, sir, he was.
- 13 Q. And why did you have another investigator with you?
- 14 A. Two agents. One for safety reasons. To set the
- scenario, to bring in more agents as we are working this
- 16 undercover thing.
- 17 |Q. At that meeting on July 1st here in Gainesville, what
- 18 | happened? What did Mr. Martenyi tell you all?
- 19 A. We were sitting in the dining area of the Hilton Hotel.
- 20 And initially we began with introductions and how Mr. Martenyi
- 21 was looking for my services. My services had been already set
- 22 | up through Clifton Brown. So it was a matter of me explaining
- 23 | what services I had available, looking for what services they
- 24 | needed in San Francisco.
- 25 Q. What, if anything, did Mr. Martenyi say that he needed or

propose to you?

2.4

A. This is -- this conversation goes on about 45 minutes.

One, is their group had originally made a proposal to do a -- a fishing processing building there in San Francisco where they legitimately have boats bringing fish. They skin them and then you bring in a load of marijuana under the guise of that. They were bringing in fish, so they wanted that facility in place for a period of time before an actual load was to come in.

In the course of this discussion, I was given the impression that a load would be set for the fall of 1993. And I inquired as to what was the fall. That would have been about October.

Through the course of these discussions, their fish processing plant did not seem like a viable idea to Matthew Martenyi's boss who was Mr. Larkin. So therefore they set out to look for some fishing boats which I actually had through the DEA a 70-foot shrimper that could easily carry 60 tons of marijuana.

So he was quite impressed that we had the facilities that big. And in actuality my boat captain had really worked on the Pacific Northwest, so he taught me through this process what to ask Mr. Martenyi and what to tell him about our boat's capabilities on the Pacific side of the ocean.

It's quite different than what we are used to in the Gulf of Mexico. So along those lines in the conversation,

we -- we talked about his past working with this organization.

They brought in 60 tons a year. 30 tons of what he referred to

as black was to go to Canada, 30 tons of what he referred to as

green would come into the United States.

2.2

And he gave me a figure on what the value of the loads were to be, about 120 million with his calculation, which is quite a large amount of money.

And through our discussions I told him how my group operated. But we had to get our boats through the Panama Canal. We needed a time frame that they would be ready to go, and then I needed some up-front money. I was aware that they were asking for about \$300,000 dollars up-front money. So I only asked for a hundred thousand.

- Q. In terms of -- did Mr. Martenyi ever get specific with the amount of the load he wanted you to bring in?
- A. At the particular time on this first conversation, it was a 60-ton load. The conversation went like this: I had a concern that we were going to be running into another group of offloaders where they wanted us to do it at.

A Canadian group of offloaders would have taken it north of the state of Washington. I didn't want to be up there with our boats at the same time the Canadians or whoever was taking off their load I'm responsible for taking off mine. I mean, as a -- as a legitimate businessman that doesn't make sense to mix your business with somebody else.

So the conversation then was that they could come further down 200 miles off the coast of the Pacific Northwest.

- Q. Pacific Northwest of the United States?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did Mr. Martenyi go into any more detail about how
- 6 the load would be imported? Did he mention boats or mother
- 7 ships?

- 8 A. Yes. There was a description of how large the mother
- 9 ship would be, and that the mother ship is the ship that brings
- 10 the marijuana in from the source countries. It's a
- 11 | tremendously large freighter, ocean-going freighter. And what
- 12 | I would have would be a 70-foot shrimper, and also had a
- 13 ||50-foot shrimper that are minuscule in size. So what they mean
- 14 by bump is that we would meet at sea, offload the bales of
- marijuana and hashish onto the vessels.
- 16 Q. You mentioned Mr. Martenyi mentioning to Mr. Larkin and
- 17 the 30 tons of black and the 30 tons of green. Did
- 18 Mr. Martenyi mention any other members of the organization at
- 19 | your meeting in Gainesville?
- 20 A. Yes, he did.
- 21 Q. What did he say about that?
- 22 A. He mentioned Mr. Larkin within the very first comments of
- our conversation. So he identified the number 1 guy right off
- 24 the bat.
- As he spoke, he talked about his lady friend. And I

believe at the very end of our conversation, he may have identified her to me as Sonya. And then Clifton Brown was a

part of it, and Nicholas Grenhagen.

Q. And in any event, with regard to Sonya with -- later in conversation with Mr. Martenyi did you learn her full name?

A. Yes. Sonia Vacca.

Q. And you mentioned Mr. Martenyi talking about his past involvement with regard to drugs. Did he mention any past involvement with regard for money for the organization?

A. Yes. In my role undercover, I was familiar with where they were taking their money to. I wanted to get it out from Mr. Martenyi. I wanted to get it on tape. And I wanted him to offer me those services.

So I led him to believe that I launder my money in the Caribbean. And he began talking about laundering this organization's money by taking it over to Singapore. That is exactly what we wanted to hear. So they volunteered maybe they could do that for me.

- Q. You talked about talking about expense money at this meeting. Did you also talk about your ultimate payment, what your percentage or what amount you would get paid? Did that come up at all?
- A. At that particular meeting, no, sir. I don't think it came up, the percentages.
- Q. Did it come up later?

 $\|A$. Yes, it did.

- 2 Q. What was the discussion on that?
 - A. The discussion -- and this was through Clifton Brown,
 passing messages by me to them and back. So we had some
 differences in what the standard fee would be. I had requested
 20 percent of the total amount of the load.

They were offering me -- and I say they -- Sonya Vacca and Matthew Martenyi, Larkin, were offering me 12 percent.

And I thought that was a very low figure from working the Colombians. I mean our standard was 25 percent. So there was a discussion with whether I would be paid in product, which means, would they give me part of the load or were they going to pay me cash after the load is sold. They pay me in pounds or they front me the money.

And Martenyi asked me at that meeting did you have a market for that type of marijuana, which is totally different than what I had been smuggling. I was smuggling Colombian marijuana which was very cheap, a few hundred dollars a pound, as compared to their marijuana which is referred to as Thai marijuana, which went for nearly a couple of thousand dollars a pound.

So I didn't know -- I mean, I told them I didn't know if I had a market for that.

Q. Did Clifton Brown have an undercover name, an alias, that

- 1 he was using at that time?
- 2 A. Yes, he did. Clifton Brown would be referred to as Danny
- Miller. And I was referred to as Earl Kelly.
- 4 Q. Okay. Did Matthew Martenyi ever discuss Danny Miller's
- 5 involvement, Danny Miller being Clifton Brown.
- 6 A. During the latter part of our conversation on July the
- 7 | 1st, Matthew Martenyi was almost apologizing for bringing
- 8 Clifton Brown into this importation plan.
- 9 Q. Did there come a time when Mr. Martenyi discussed the
- 10 | written proposal with you?
- 11 A. Yes, he did.
- 12 ||Q|. What did he say about the written proposal?
- 13 A. At the conclusion of our meeting, Mr. Martenyi summarized
- 14 | it, what we had gone over, and said: This is what I want to
- propose to Mr. Larkin for you. If there are any other details
- that I need to know, please let me know before we give it to
- 17 ||Larkin.
- And then he indicated that he'd go back and meet with
- 19 Vacca and discuss it, and then they would give me a written
- 20 proposal.
- 21 Q. Did he ultimately fax you a written proposal?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. DAVIES: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 24 THE COURT: Uh-huh.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} . Showing you what I've marked for identification as

Government Exhibit 3.

I ask you, can you identify that as the fax you received from Mr. Martenyi regarding the written proposal?

A. Yes, sir.

2

3

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. What date was it received?
- 6 A. July the 9th of 1993.

7 MR. DAVIES: Your Honor, I would offer Government 8 Exhibit 3 into evidence.

9 THE COURT: It will be received.

(Government Exhibit No. 3 admitted.)

- Q. Did you ultimately learn who wrote that fax?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
 - O. And who wrote it?
- 14 A. Sonia Vacca.

MR. DAVIES: Your Honor, I would ask to publish it to the jury and give hard copies at this time.

THE COURT: Sure you may.

- Q. Agent Lilley, if you would, I would like you to just go ahead and publish the proposal, if you would, read it orally even though we've got it on the screen.
- A. The big DCO, Mike. Howdy. Sorry about my mumblings. Telephones give me the jitters. To reiterate, I think that everything M related to me is just fine.

There was the one confusion about written proposals.

But, as you and I, and no doubt your friend realize, that is

unnecessary and indeed unadvisable. The only point I needed to have clarified was the percentage.

As I mentioned, based on the last seven years, that percentage is rather higher than what we are accustomed to and needs modification. the situation is ongoing and large which are important factors to consider.

It is also expandable to the north, not to mention that one percent of something is better than 100 percent of nothing.

You stated that people always look at what the owner asks -- I'm sorry, what the owner makes, and their appetites may increase accordingly. However, a business-like approach is to consider what a particular service is worth, what is fair enough to cover the work and risk involved and go from there.

As you well know, we have been paying 12 percent for just delivery, and a higher percentage if a distribution network is offered. As an example, here is a breakdown using old figures. 30,000 times 2.2 times 2,500 equals 165 million.

12 percent equals 19,800,000 as opposed to 20 percent equals 33 million.

That, as they say, is not chopped liver. In all business, there is always a certain amount of horse-trading and a give and take. I have never run across a figure carved in stone. Somewhere between the two figures lies a magic number, and we need to find it. Payment is local. What they do with

it is of concern to us, because should there be a problem that would be rather inconvenient.

M had mentioned that they like to deal with the Islands. All of those areas are very red-flagged and I would strongly recommend my areas, with which I can help.

After all negotiations are settled, your friend will meet his counterpart and they will figure out the technical and logistical aspects. L has no need or desire to be in the loop as I am his direct representative. One final point. There is no need to mention localities for meetings by phone, not even in terms of countries.

So far everything seems quite satisfactory to all of us, and we simply need to work out a few details. Best regards.

MR. DAVIES: Can you scroll back to the top?

Q. Can you see this from where you are sitting, Agent
Lilley?

A. No.

MR. DAVIES: May the witness stand down, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Do you have this?

Q. If you would stand down, I want to ask you about a few lines in here.

Where he says, I think that everything M related to me is just fine. Who did you understand M to be?

A. I understand M to be Martenyi.

- 1 Q. And I think that if everything M related to me. Who was
- 2 || me?
- 3 A. Sonia Vacca.
- 4 |Q. Where it talks about based on the last seven years that
- 5 percentage is rather higher. What did you understand him to be
- 6 | talking about?
- 7 A. The 20 percent.
- 8 Q. That you were asking for was higher than they were used
- 9 | to paying?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And when he said the situation is ongoing and large, what
- 12 | did you understand that to mean?
- 13 A. That they were continuing their smuggling operation and
- 14 | it was a very large organization and operation.
- 15 Q. And when he said it's also expandable to the north, what
- did you understand him to be talking about or her talking about
- 17 | there. Excuse me?
- 18 A. That there was a possibility of me doing the work in
- 19 | Canada as well as the United States.
- 20 O. And the numbers here that Sonia Vacca wrote, what did you
- 21 | understand her to be referring to, this 165 thousand and the
- 22 | 19 -- 165 million and the 19 million, 800 thousand?
- 23 A. Based on the last seven years, the 2,500, is what they
- 24 | would sell for a pound of hashish or the marijuana.
- So you take 2,500 and multiply it by 66 thousand

- pounds. What you have up there consists of 30,000 multiplied by 2.2, which is -- 2.2 is a kilogram. To break a kilogram
- down, you break it down into a pound.
- So you would come up with roughly 66 thousand times 2,500 per pound, that gives you 165 million.
- MR. DAVIES: If you could scroll up a bit.
- 7 Q. Where she said, M had mentioned that they liked to deal
- 8 with the Islands, all of those areas are very red-flagged. I
- 9 would strongly recommend my areas. What did you understand her
- 10 to be talking about?
- 11 A. The Caribbean Islands, the Cayman Islands where I
- laundered my money or where I led them to believe that I
- laundered my money?
- 14 Q. She recommended her area for money laundering as opposed
- 15 to yours?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . And where she said, L has no need or desire to be in the
- loop as I'm his direct representative, who did you understand L
- 19 | to be?
- 20 A. L would be Larkin.
- 21 Q. And Sonia Vacca would be Larkin's direct representative?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 \mathbb{Q} . And down at the bottom when she closes. So far
- everything seems to be quite satisfactory to all of us, we only
- 25 | need to work out a few details. What did you understand that

- 1 | to mean?
- 2 A. That everything was progressing.
- 3 Q. Thank you. You can have a seat.
- Agent Lilley, this meeting that we've been talking

 about on July 1st, 1993 with Matthew Martenyi, were any efforts

 made to tape-record that meeting?
- 7 A. Yes, they were.
- 8 Q. And how -- what type of efforts were made? What did you 9 all do?
- 10 A. Investigator Nordberg wore a hidden transmitter device.
- 11 And I believe it was agent Tom Leo who was on the outside of
- 12 the Hilton in a vehicle, ran what we call the cell or the
- 13 | receiving device which has a cassette in it.
- 14 Q. Did you later recover that recording?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Have you listened to the tapes?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 $\|Q$. Are they very audible, or are they very easily heard?
- 19 A. Absolutely not.
- 20 Q. They are somewhat difficult to hear?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Why is that?
- 23 A. The technical equipment that we used did not transmit
- 24 through the building where we were at. And it's not unusual.
- 25 We have a very limited range on transmitter to receiver. So we

wear those devices. The first thing is as a safety issue and second would be to record the evidence

3

5

16

- Q. And for safety concerns, did the agent have to be out in the van receiving the transmission as opposed to sitting around the hotel somewhere?
- A. Yes. If something had gone wrong at any of the meetings that we had in our undercover capacity, the agent -- the two agents monitoring what we call the recording, gives the signal
- for a team to come in as a rescue. That is the first priority
 of the transmitter-receiver devices.
- 11 Q. Are there portions of the tapes that you can hear?
- 12 A. In this particular meeting on July 1st there is a fair amount that are not heard for various reasons.
- Q. Let me show you what has been marked for identification as Government Exhibit 1A, Government Exhibit 1B and 1C.
 - Are those the tapes from your meeting with Mr. Martenyi?
- A. Yes. I recognize agent Tom Leo's handwriting. And he signed his name, date and time and correctly marked these as Exhibit A, B and C.
- 21 Q. And showing you Exhibit 1D, is that a transcript of 22 portions of those tapes?
- 23 A. Yes. I have -- I created this transcript.
- Q. And is the transcript an accurate depiction of who speaks and what was said on the tapes?

Α. Yes. 2 MR. DAVIES: Your Honor, I would offer Government Exhibit 1A, B and C into evidence. 3 THE COURT: Without objection. 4 5 (Government Exhibits 1A, 1B and 1C admitted.) MR. DAVIES: Your Honor, I would request to publish 6 7 the tapes and the transcript. I would offer 1D into evidence, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: You may do so. 10 (Government Exhibit 1D admitted.) 11 THE COURT: What they are going to do, they are going to play these tapes or portions of them, as I understand it, 12 13 that have been identified by Agent Lilley. Exhibit 1D purports to be a transcript of those tapes 14 15 or portions of them. The transcripts also purport to identify the speakers that appear on the tape. 16 17 The transcript is being provided for you for your use 18 in following and listening to the tape recordings. extent that you find that the transcript is not accurate or is 19 20 unreliable you should disregard it. You should always remember that the tapes themselves 21 are the best evidence of their own content. 22 And I'll later advise you this same thing during the 2.3 instructions. So if you will pass them out. 24

MR. DAVIES: Your Honor, as Agent Lee passes out the

head sets I would inform the Court on the head sets -- if someone's batteries go dead, please let us know.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen you are also getting head sets. There is a little wheel on it that switches it off and on and adjusts the volume.

You will see a little glass bubble. That is your receiver. It should be pointing out. Don't let anything get between that receiver and that is where the sound signals are coming from up here.

So if you are unable to hear once they turn it on, let us know we'll replace your batteries.

MR. DAVIES: Bring up 1B, please.

- Q. Before I play this, this first portion particularly has got some lengthy inaudible parts on it?
- A. Yes, sir it does.
- Q. And after Mr. Martenyi says Agent Houston, is there a fairly long break before you can pick him up and hear him say the next line, because basically we were bringing you up?
- 19 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

- Q. So the jury should anticipate some sort of break before they get to that?
 - A. Yes, sir.

(Tape played.)

Q. Agent Lilley, can -- if you can scroll back to the top, please. And you need to stand down if you need to see it.

When he is talking about anywhere in Mexico to
Canada, what did you understand Mr. Martenyi to be talking

- A. That we could meet the mother ship anywhere between Bueno Venturo, South America and Alaska.
 - Q. And when he asked you do you have a market distribution, what did you understand him to be talking about?

MR. DAVIES: If you would scroll up a little bit.

- Q. Where he said, do you have a market distribution, what did you understand him to be talking about?
- A. I'm asking him that.

about?

Do you already have a market? And he asked me -- he doesn't know what my situation is on my market. And I said we have a delivery system.

- Q. And when he says, we would make the bump, what do you understand him to be talking about?
- A. Okay. For his role or his group's role in this, the mother ship comes in, makes a transfer of the marijuana onto our vessel bringing it in. And I understand that to be his original thought processes where they would bring it into that fish processing plant.
- Q. When he said looking at the late fall, I don't know if that is going to happen, the thing is we do two a year, what did you understand him to be talking about?
- A. They do two loads a year, one in the spring and one in

the fall. 1 MR. DAVIES: Thank you. If you would go to next 2 3 part, please. 4 (Tape played.) 5 Agent Lilley, if I can ask you a few things about this. 6 When Mr. Martenyi said we were uncomfortable with that too far 7 out long range, what did you understand him to be talking 8 about? I believe at this time we may have been talking about 9 offloading up around Midway Island, bringing the smaller ships 10 back to the fish processing plant in San Francisco. 11 12 MR. DAVIES: If you can scroll up a little, please. 13 You said they looked to make a bump, it will probably be a combination of black for Canada and green for the States. 14 What did you understand that to mean? 15 16 I understood that to be the hashish would be going to 17 Canada, and the marijuana would be coming to the states all on one mother ship. 18 Thank you. 19 Q. MR. DAVIES: 20 Go to the next part, please. 21 (Tape played.) MR. DAVIES: 22 May I publish the next part of the tape, 23 Your Honor? 24 (Tape played.) And was that proposal that you were talking about earlier 25 Q.

when you were testifying to the jury that he ultimately faxed 1 2 you? 3 Α. Yes, sir. MR. DAVIES: If you could go to the next section, 4 5 please. 6 (Tape played.) Agent Lilley, could you step down again, please. 7 Q. When Mr. Martenyi said the skipper is a Pakistani, 8 what did you understand him to be talking about there? 9 The boat captain of the large mother ship. 10 Α. And when Mr. Clifton Brown was talking about 19 by 10 and 11 Q. by 12 and Mr. Martenyi said compressed and wrapped, what did 12 you understand him to be talking about? 13 The size of the packages that we would be receiving. 14 Α. 15 MR. DAVIES: Scroll up a little bit. That is good. And when Mr. Martenyi said: They have been dealing with 16 their distributors for many, many years, what did you 17 understand him to be talking about? 18 19 That this has been an ongoing organization, but leading Α. up to that we were discussing my payment, whether it was in 20 cash or merchandise. So his response is, they had been working 21 for a long time so their distributors were in place. 22 And when he said they actually asked me -- they actually 23 asked if we could get it together by fall, what did you 24

understand Mr. Martenyi to be talking about?

That in his last meeting that he had with Larkin a couple 1 of months prior to the meeting with me, Larkin had asked could 2 they get it ready -- could they be ready in the fall. 3 MR. DAVIES: You can have a seat. Thank you. 4 5 (Tape played.) 6 Scroll back to the top. MR. DAVIES: 7 The top of this part of the conversation where Mr. Martenyi says, my understanding those people have been 8 doing it for years, been doing two a year, what did you understand him to be talking about? 10 That they were doing two importations per year. 1.7 Α. MR. DAVIES: If you could scroll it some, please. 12 13 That is good. And when Mr. Martenyi says it's the ultimate paper trail, 14 after you all talk about money, what did you understand 15 Mr. Martenyi to be talking about there? 16 The money laundering aspect. I was discussing with 17 Α. Matthew Martenyi, I said up there, we only need to do one load 18 because we are going to make so much money. I mean, that 19 wasn't good enough for him. You know, to me, four or five 20 million dollars is a lot of money. He said it's the ultimate 21

MR. DAVIES: Can you scroll up a little.

22

23

25

actual product.

Q. When Mr. Martenyi here is talking about she gets on a

paper trail. It is harder to move the money than it is the

- plane and falls asleep, we didn't see her, she flies first
- 2 class, who did you understand him to be talking about?
- 3 A. Sonya Vacca.
- 4 \mathbb{Q} . And what was she doing on a plane?
- 5 A. Flying to Singapore with suitcases full of money.
- 6 Q. Money to be laundered?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And when you said have you considered any offshore
- 9 corporations? And Mr. Martenyi said, we have one in Hong-Kong,
- what were you all talking about there?
- 11 A. I had previous knowledge that they had offshore
- 12 corporations in Hong-Kong. I wanted to get that out of him.
- So as we were concluding our meeting, I brought it up, and he
- described it to me.
- MR. DAVIES: Thank you. If you could scroll to the
- 16 next part, please.
- 17 (Tape played.)
- 18 Q. And when he talks about -- Mr. Martenyi says, I'm making
- the proposal for you, I'll make the presentation, what did you
- 20 understand him to be talking about?
- 21 A. On the first part, we would go back to -- the very last
- 22 | issue was going to be the corporation in Hong-Kong, offshore
- 23 corporation into a proposal that he'd be presenting to
- Mr. Larkin on my behalf.
- MR. DAVIES: If you would stay there. Can we go back

```
to Government Exhibit 3, please.
 1
                Scroll down a little bit, please. That is good.
 2
            And when Sonia Vacca on this Government Exhibit 3 wrote
 3
      you and said M had indicated that he'd like to deal with the
 4
      Island, all of those areas are red-flagged areas, what did you
 5
      understand her areas to be?
 6
 7
            Singapore, Hong-Kong, leaving Canada and the United
 8
      States.
            She was recommending those areas to do your money
 9
10
      laundering in?
11
      Α.
            Yes, sir.
12
                MR. DAVIES: Thank you.
13
                Your Honor, may we collect the headsets at this time?
14
                THE COURT: Just turn them off, folks. We'll pick
15
      them up.
                Mr. Davies, if you are getting ready to go into a new
16
      area, maybe the jury would like to take a little break right
17
18
      now.
19
                We'll take 15 minute, folks.
20
                                (Jury out.)
21
                              (Recess taken.)
22
                                 (Jury in.)
23
                THE COURT:
                           Be seated, please. You are still under
24
      oath, Mr. Lilley.
                If the government is ready to proceed.
25
```

1 MR. DAVIES: Yes, sir.

Q. Agent Lilley, going back to the tapes we just played. At

one point, Matthew Martenyi said: They asked if we could get

4 it together by fall. Did you understand that to be the fall of

5 | 1993?

- 6 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. And were photographs taken as you all were in there back
- 8 on July 1993 here in Gainesville?
- 9 A. The perimeter DEA agents and task force agents that were on the outside had taken photographs.
- 11 Q. Showing you what is marked as identification as
- Government's Exhibit 2A through 2F, do those photographs fairly
- and accurately depict the outside area of the Hilton on July
- 14 | 1st, 1993 as the various participants of the meeting walking
- 15 | into the Hilton?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. DAVIES: Your Honor, I would offer Government
- 18 Exhibit 2A through F into evidence.
- THE COURT: Without objection.
- 20 (Government Exhibits 2A through 2F admitted.)
- MR. DAVIES: May the witness stand down, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 23 Q. Agent Lilley, those didn't -- when the jury looks at them
- 24 | later, the first picture 2A, is that you walking into the
- 25 || Hilton?

1 $\|A$. Yes, sir, it is.

4

- Q. Just so the jury knows, if they want to look at those later.
 - Referring to 2D, does that show any participants in the meeting?
- A. This is Matthew Martenyi as he's exciting his rental car in the parking lot of the Hilton.
- 8 Q. What type of shirt is Matthew Martenyi wearing?
- 9 A. It looks like a short sleeve pullover, white with blue stripes.
- 11 Q. Can you just put your finger on it so the jury can see which one he is?
- 13 $\|A$. His back is to everybody.
- 14 \mathbb{Q} . And who else is in that picture 2D?
- A. Clifton Brown is in the white shirt. You can see his tie is flying and you can see Jeff Nordberg, an investigator with Gainesville police department as he is coming into the picture.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 You can be seated.
- MR. DAVIES: Your Honor, if I didn't, I would offer
 Government Exhibit 2 into evidence.
- THE COURT: They are in.
- Q. In the course of your investigation in the role of Kelly, did you also meet and talk to Nicholas Grenhagen?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, I did.

- 1 Q. And how many times did you meet with Nicholas Grenhagen?
- A. I met with Mr. Grenhagen probably four times, twice on
- 3 one day.
- 4 Q. And where were those meetings?
- 5 A. The very first meeting was at -- again at the Hilton
- 6 Hotel on Southwest 13th Street in Gainesville, Florida.
- 7 The remaining meetings were done at the Residence Inn 8 in Gainesville, Florida.
- 9 Q. And when were those meetings, approximately?
- 10 A. The first meeting was September the 13th of 1993.
- 11 \mathbb{Q} . And when were the other meetings?
- 12 A. The remaining meetings were all in October.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} . Did you also talk to Mr. Grenhagen on the telephone?
- 14 A. Yes, I talked to him quite a few times on the telephone.
- Q. Was this during that same time frame, late September
- 16 | early October of '93?
- 17 A. Yes. After I met him on September the 13th and there was
- some regular telephone conversations, Mr. Grenhagen would be in
- 19 London, he'd be in Sweden, he'd be in San Francisco. And I
- would receive a message that he wanted me to give him a call.
- 21 Q. And did you talk to Mr. Grenhagen about things pertinent
- 22 to this case including the offload of that marijuana?
- 23 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. And did Mr. Grenhagen tell you whether or not he was
- 25 ||involved in that?

- 1 A. Mr. Grenhagen was a participant involved in that and had
- 2 indicated that he had met with Larkin a couple of months
- previous to meeting with me.
- 4 Q. Did Mr. Grenhagen say anything about couriering money,
- 5 transporting money, or money laundering?
- 6 A. Yes, sir, he did.
 - \mathbb{Q} . What did he tell you about that?
- A. That he had carried money on behalf of Larkin with Sonia
 Vacca
- 10 Q. Okay. When you met with Nicholas Grenhagen on September
- 11 | 13th did you have another undercover officer with you?
- 12 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- |Q. Who was that?
- A. I had Sergeant Lou Acevedo with the Gainesville police
- department.

- 16 \mathbb{Q} . What role was Sergeant Acevedo playing?
- 17 A. He played a Colombian -- the son of a Colombian drug
- smuggler and my brother-in-law.
- 19 Q. Did Mr. Grenhagen appear to accept him in that role?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, he did.
- 21 Q. And at that meeting in Gainesville on September 13th, did
- Mr. Grenhagen indicate where he'd be going or who he'd be
- 23 seeing after that?
- 24 A. On the 13th?
- 25 Q. Yes, sir. On September 13th.

- A. Yes, sir. He'd be leaving. After he left our meeting
- 2 he'd be going to San Francisco to participate in a meeting with
- Mr. Larkin, with Sonia Vacca and Matthew Martenyi.
- 4 Q. And was there a later meeting with you and Sergeant
- Acevedo undercover and Nicholas Grenhagen on October 3rd here
- 6 | in Gainesville?
- 7 A. Yes. That was our second meeting.
- 8 Q. And what, if anything, did Nicholas Grenhagen tell you at
- 9 | that meeting?
- 10 A. In regards to?
- 11 \mathbb{Q} . In regards to whether he had had the meeting with his
- 12 partners?
- 13 A. Yes. The meeting had taken place. Mr. Grenhagen was not
- a participant at that meeting. The message was relayed to him
- by Vacca, and we were set to go to have my boats inspected or
- 16 my boat inspected in January.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . That would be January of '94?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . And after that October 3rd meeting here in Gainesville
- with you, Sergeant Acevedo and Nicholas Grenhagen, was there a
- 21 | time after that when Sonia Vacca called here in Gainesville?
- 22 A. Yes, she did.
- 23 Q. And approximately when was that?
- A. I don't remember the date. It was after a meeting with
- Grenhagen where he was being told be me and Sergeant Acevedo as

- well as Clifton Brown that I had not been receiving any
- 2 correspondence from the San Francisco group and my boat was
- 3 already on the Pacific Northwest and I was incurring expenses.
- 4 So Sonia Vacca did call me. I spoke with her, as well as I
- 5 spoke with Matthew Martenyi on the phone.
- Q. What, if anything, did Sonia Vacca say to you during that
- 7 | phone call?
- 8 A. That things were fine. The payment for me, the hundred
- 9 thousand dollars, was not a problem. And again, we would go
- 10 | back to the January, doing -- the representative on their end
- would be doing the logistics and the code frequencies on how we
- 12 | would communicate.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} . When was Nicholas Grenhagen arrested?
- 14 A. Nicholas Grenhagen was arrested on October the 12th of
- 15 | 1993.
- 16 \mathbb{Q} . Was that here in Gainesville?
- 17 A. Yes, sir. It was at the Target shopping center parking
- 18 ||lot.
- 19 Q. Did you see passports form Nicholas Grenhagen when he was
- 20 | arrested?
- 21 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 22 Q. I'm showing you what I've marked for identification as
- Government Exhibit 12 and 13. Can you identify those?
- A. Both of those passports are issued by the country of
- Sweden with a photo of Nicholas Grenhagen.

- 1 Q. Have you examined those passports before?
- 2 A. Yes, sir, I have.
- MR. DAVIES: Your Honor, I would offer Government
- 4 Exhibits 12 and 13 into evidence.
- 5 THE COURT: Without objection.
- 6 (Government Exhibits 12 and 13 admitted.)
- 7 Q. Do they indicate that Mr. Grenhagen traveled to Singapore
- 8 on various occasions?
- 9 A. Yes, sir, they do.
- 10 Q. With regard to passports, special Agent Lilley, have you
- 11 traveled internationally?
- 12 A. Yes, sir, I have.
- 13 Q. Does a passport always get stamped every time you enter a
- 14 | country?
- 15 A. No, it does not.
- 16 Q. So it's possible to travel and not have a stamp on your
- passport for a specific date of travel?
- 18 A. Actually you don't even need a passport to travel
- 19 | internationally; a birth certificate or a driver's license.
- 20 Q. Also Agent Lilley in the course of your investigation was
- 21 one of your purposes to attempt to locate drugs in the
- 22 possession or control of the organization?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} . And did Clifton Brown attempt to assist you in that offer
- 25 to locate drugs held by the organization?

- 1 A. Yes, sir, he did.
- 2 Q. As a result of that information, did you contact another
- 3 ||law enforcement agency?
- $4 \quad \| A. \quad \text{Yes.}$
- 5 Q. And which agency was that?
- 6 A. The Royal Canadian Mounted Police in Vancouver British
- 7 | Columbia.
- 8 Q. Without going into specifics of what they told you, did
- 9 the Royal Canadian Mounted Police Department provide with you
- 10 | information that was of use to you in this investigation?
- 11 A. Yes, sir, they did.
- MR. DAVIES: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Cross.
- MR. KENNEDY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- MR. DAAR: May we move the screen, Your Honor?
- 16 MR. HANKINSON: I will just set it down.
- 17 | CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. KENNEDY:
- 19 Q. Good morning, Agent Lilley.
- 20 A. Good morning, sir.
- Q. Was this your first attempt at an undercover operation,
- 22 | this -- these meetings with Martenyi and Grenhagen back in '93?
- 23 A. This was my first attempt on this organization, yes, sir.
- 24 $\|Q$. My question, sir, was: Was this your first attempt
- 25 | against any target as an undercover operative?

- 1 A. No, sir. I've worked undercover on international
- 2 | organizations in this district a number of times.
- 3 Q. Approximately how many?
- 4 A. As the primary undercover agent, twice.
- 5 Q. In any undercover capacity, Agent.
- 6 A. Well, I can't keep track of that number.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . Give me a rough -- are we talking about six? Ten?
- 8 A. No, less than ten. I was more -- primarily a case agent
- 9 | than an undercover agent.
- 10 Q. And your ability to operate undercover requires you to be
- 11 able to assume a role and play that role as effectively as you
- 12 ||can; is that correct?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And with reference to your playing a role in this case,
- 15 you did some preparation for that role, as I understand it.
- As a matter of fact, you met with a boat captain, I
- 17 | believe you told us, to brief you on some of the realities of
- 18 | the West Coast of the United States and possible offshore
- 19 | loading operations or on-shore loading operations on the west
- 20 | coast. Did I get that right?
- 21 A. The first -- my first boat captain I spoke to over the
- 22 | telephone because we were in an ongoing undercover. My second
- 23 | boat captain I met with personally on the smaller boat.
- 24 Q. And those meetings designed to prepare you to be as
- 25 effective as you could in your undercover capacity?

- 1 A. Yes, sir, they were.
- 2 Q. For the role you were playing?
- 3 | A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Now, in order for that role to work, you have to be able
- 5 | to deceive or to fool the people with whom -- those potential
- 6 criminals who you are engaging; do you not?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And did you take any particular courses or do any
- 9 particular preparation for -- to enhance this ability of yours
- 10 to be able to fool or deceive people?
- 11 A. The DEA has courses in undercover work that are certainly
- 12 taught to us, the methods and roles, through basic agent
- 13 school.
- 14 Q. Were you ever discovered, that is, that -- the undercover
- 15 | role that you were playing, was that ever discovered other than
- 16 by your own admitting of it in terms of an arrest or something
- 17 |of that sort?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . So it is fair to say that you were good at your
- 20 undercover operation?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. You are good at fooling and deceiving people?
- A. In the undercover operation, yes, sir.
- Q. As a matter of fact, you could fool me right now; could
- 25 || you not?

- 1 A. I could try.
- 2 \mathbb{Q} . You could fool this jury; could you not?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Now, back in '93, you could -- if I understand it, you
- had been in the Gainesville office for approximately six to
- 6 seven years?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And now when -- you came out of law enforcement in
- 9 | Virginia, I believe it was?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. When you came out of law enforcement in Virginia and
- 12 | joined the Drug Enforcement Administration, your first
- assignment was here in Gainesville?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 $\|Q\|$. That was 1987?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. So when these activities were happening in '93, you have
- now been an agent here in Gainesville for approximately six or
- 19 seven years?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 \mathbb{Q} . It's a fact, is it not, that prior to your having
- 22 encountered those people -- Martenyi and Grenhagen -- that you
- had asked to be transferred out of the Gainesville office.
- 24 That is a fact; is it not?
- 25 A. I don't know about that time frame, before I met this

- organization. It's right around there where I was eligible for
- 2 promotion. I had put in for different positions for promotion.
- Q. You actually put in an application to the DEA for a
- 4 transfer out of the Gainesville office at some point; did you
- 5 | not?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Also back in -- well, let's see. The DEA is run out of
- 8 Washington, DC; is it not?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Now, back in January of '93, were you aware that the DEA
- 11 | in Washington had taken the decision -- January of '93 I'm
- 12 | talking about -- had taken the decision to close down the
- 13 | Gainesville office?
- 14 A. I heard you say that yesterday. I haven't researched it.
- 15 And I've thought about it, when the time frame took place.
- But, there was discussions about closing the
- Gainesville office. I do not deny that. The time frame I do
- 18 | not remember.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . And, as a matter of fact, the decision to close the
- 20 | Gainesville -- the decision by Washington to close the
- 21 | Gainesville office was announced in Washington that Gainesville
- was going to be the first of the DEA offices to be closed down
- because of its marginal productivity; isn't that right?
- A. I cannot say that is a fair statement. If there is some
- documentation of that that I could review.

MR. KENNEDY: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? 1 2 THE COURT: Sure. 3 MR. KENNEDY: Might I have this marked for identification as Defendant's Exhibit -- I suppose we'll start 4 5 with A then, Your Honor, if we may. 6 THE COURT: 7 MR. KENNEDY: Very good. I show you what is an article from a Gainesville paper 8 dated January 14th of 1993. I ask you to look at that if you 9 10 wouldn't mind. I accept this as your version of what you have asked me. 11 12 I did not remember this. 13 Thank you. Okay. 14 Do you have any basis -- either personally or professionally -- upon which to quarrel with this Gainesville, 15 Sun staff writer's report that we've marked as Defense Exhibit 16 17 Number 1? MR. DAVIES: Objection, Your Honor. 18 I think --THE COURT: Are you objecting to the question or to 19 20 what? 21 MR. DAVIES: I'm objecting to the question and to the use of the article. The witness can use the article to refresh 22 his recollection, but now he's asking the witness about things 23 24 in the article. 25 MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. That's not quite accurate.

I'm asking him, Your Honor, whether or not professionally or personally he quarreled with or disputed any of the contents of the article.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. DAVIES: Yes, sir, I object. I mean, I don't think it's proper use of the exhibit, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, if that's the only objection, it's overruled.

- A. I do not object with the Sun's report on this. I object with what the DEA in Washington may have said about us being a low-producing office.
- Q. Understood, sir.

You understand that I'm not asking you to agree with the DEA decision to close down DEA.

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Nor am I asking you to necessarily agree with the DEA's description by Mr. McGivney of the DEA that the office will be closed in March because the productivity was low. Do you see that?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you also see down in the article -- it's actually on the first column down very near the bottom -- talking about the Gainesville office: It is the only one currently on the closure list. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

1 Now, that is all the questions that I have about that. 2 MR. KENNEDY: We would offer it, Your Honor. MR. DAVIES: Your Honor, can we approach? 3 4 THE COURT: Do you object? 5 MR. DAVIES: I would ask Mr. Kennedy to produce the actual article. He has got the date written on it. 6 7 THE COURT: Do you object to the article or just to that aspect of it? 8 9 MR. DAVIES: I object. It's hearsay also. THE COURT: Sustain the objection. 10 11 MR. KENNEDY: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 Ο. Set that aside then, if you wouldn't mind, Agent Lilley. 13 So at around the early part of '93, you are 14 concerned, are you not, as an agent in the DEA office here in 15 Gainesville about this decision to close down the Gainesville office. Is that fair? 16 17 Yes, sir. Α. 18 And one of the ways in which you would like to be able to 19 prevent or get them to reconsider, at least, is by increasing 20 or showing them how productive the DEA office is here in 21 Gainesville; is that correct? Well, we did produce these statistics. Yes, sir. 22 Α. 23 As a matter of fact, you were looking to try to bring into Gainesville cases that would increase, statistically, the 24

productivity in the office. Fair enough?

- 1 A. I don't know that that is a fair statement, because I
- don't recall sitting around having those discussions with the
- guys in the office saying that.
- 4 Q. Well, my question, sir, wasn't did you talk to your
- brother agents about it. But, in your own mind, one of your
- 6 desires -- strike that.
- You wanted to keep the Gainesville office open; did
- 8 you not?
- 9 A. Sure.
- 10 Q. You knew that Washington was saying: We're going to
- 11 ||close you down because your productivity is low or marginal.
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} . You wanted to stop Washington from closing down the
- 14 | office?
- 15 A. Certainly.
- 16 \mathbb{Q} . The way to do that is to increase the productivity of the
- 17 ||office?
- 18 A. That would be one of the methods.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . And one of the methods of increasing the productivity of
- 20 the office is to make cases, bring cases into Gainesville, make
- 21 some arrests, seize some drugs, get some money, correct?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Now, Clifton Brown -- that is his actual name; is it not?
- 24 A. Yes, sir. It is Clifton Brown.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} . Now, before Clifton Brown called on this occasion in '92

- 1 or '93 to alert you to the possibility of these people working
- 2 the drug smuggling scam out on the west coast, out in
- 3 California or wherever, had you ever heard of Clifton Brown or
- 4 | known about his case?
- A. Yes. I was assigned -- it's actually -- the title is
- 6 called RastaSlaw-Kindra II, is how DEA does a file title.
- I had been assigned that case in 1987. When I showed
- 8 up on the doorstep in Gainesville, I was given about 75 cases
- 9 to handle that agents that I replaced had left.
- 10 Q. And when you -- when you were assigned that case as a
- 11 | young officer you reviewed the case, I presume?
- 12 A. It was in -- yes, I reviewed it. It was in a fugitive
- 13 status.
- 14 Q. If I understand correctly, Mr. Brown had been convicted
- of smuggling cocaine -- five thousand pounds I believe it
- 16 was -- of cocaine into what, the Gainesville area particularly?
- 17 A. It was in the Northern District. But he had been
- indicted, not yet convicted.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . I'm sorry. So he had been indicted, accused by the
- 20 government, of bringing some five thousand pounds of cocaine
- 21 | into the Northern District of Florida; is that correct?
- A. As a member of that organization. Yes, sir.
- 23 \mathbb{Q} . And you were interested in getting him?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} . But I gather whatever efforts you were able to make were

- of no avail from 1987 till ultimately he calls out of the blue
- 2 | in '93; am I correct?
- A. That -- he did not call me. His attorney called
- 4 Mr. McGee and we set up a meeting. And that was out of the
- 5 blue.
- 6 Q. Out of the blue. Thank you.
- Now, the meeting -- the attorney for Mr. Brown did
- 8 not call you, because, of course, he didn't know you had been
- 9 | assigned Mr. Brown's fugitive file?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 \mathbb{Q} . When Mr. Brown -- when Mr. Brown's attorney called,
- 12 | though, he called somebody in the DEA?
- 13 A. He called Mr. David McGee, an Assistant United States
- 14 Attorney in Tallahassee, Florida.
- 15 Q. Here in the Northern District also?
- 16 $\|A$. In the Northern District. Yes, sir.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . Did Mr. McGee then contact you, Agent Lilley, and ask you
- 18 ||to take over this matter?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You then spoke to Mr. Brown?
- 21 A. On the 18th of June, Mr. Pasano, representing Clifton
- Brown, came to the Gainesville office and met with Mr. McGee
- 23 and myself.
- 24 Q. With Mr. Brown?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. And it was at that time that he told you of his being
- 2 introduced or becoming involved with these people: Martenyi,
- Grenhagen, Vacca, and a Mr. Larkin?
- 4 A. Yes, sir, he did.
- 5 Q. Now, this Mr. Larkin, you ultimately made in your
- 6 investigation -- determined to be a man named Claude Duboc; did
- 7 | you not?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. When Mr. Brown -- by the way, have you seen the notes
- 10 that Mr. Brown made with reference to this?
- 11 A. I had asked him to prepare the notes. I'm aware of
- 12 | those. Yes, sir.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} . And my colleagues in the government have given us a copy
- of them. I'm assuming that you have seen a copy?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. The copy that we've been given is actually typed up.
- 17 A. Yes.

- 18 Q. I gather that Mr. Brown wasn't typing his notes. He was
- 19 probably doing them in long hand and then he or someone typed
- 20 | them up for him?
- 21 || A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And so far as you can tell, did the typed version of
- 23 | those notes accurately reflect the handwritten notes of
- 24 Mr. Brown?
- 25 A. At the time I was working with an FDLE agent --

- 1 Q. What is that, sir? Tell the jury.
- A. The Florida Department of Law Enforcement. Agent Tom
- 3 Turk. He may have sat down with Clifton Brown and changed
- 4 these from the handwritten into the typed. I don't remember
- 5 doing that part of it.
- MR. DAAR: Your Honor, can I ask the witness to move
- 7 | the microphone closer.
- MR. KENNEDY: Possibly if you will project, try and
- 9 project your voice back to where I am, Agent.
- 10 Q. Now, when you spoke to Mr. Brown, Mr. Brown was
- 11 | interested, of course, in making a deal, correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. He was -- he had not been convicted, but he was not only
- 14 | facing charges of having brought five thousand pounds of
- cocaine into the Northern District of Florida, but then having
- 16 || fled, having absconded. Correct?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And so he was facing 20 years to life in prison, as far
- 19 as he knew, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 \mathbb{Q} . So he really wanted to make a deal?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 \mathbb{Q} . And the way that he wanted to make the deal is to improve
- his own position, was to bring you what you wanted, which was
- another case here in Gainesville. Right, sir?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, if this case was made out in California or out in
- 3 | Seattle, or out in Vancouver, British Columbia, up in Canada,
- 4 | that wouldn't do you any good down in Gainesville, would it,
- 5 down here?
- A. I don't know what you mean by doing me any good.
- 7 Q. Well, sorry. I didn't mean to make it personal.
- Doing your official capacity any good, as a DEA
- gagent, because you were trying to make cases here in
- Gainesville, and to make cases out on the west coast of the
- United States or up in Canada wouldn't really help Gainesville,
- 12 | would it?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. All right. Thank you.
- MR. KENNEDY: Now we've got volume. I believe even
- Mr. Daar is going to be able to hear us now. Thank you
- whomever did that.
- 18 Q. So the first thing you wanted Mr. Brown to do was to help
- 19 you get this burgeoning criminality out west into Gainesville;
- 20 | is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Now, Mr. -- in Mr. Brown's notes -- I just asked you to
- 23 accept my representation of this, and I will show you if you
- don't want to accept my representation, because you don't have
- 25

to.

In Mr. Brown's notes he says that what he would like to do is to bring you undercover and maybe another one of your colleagues undercover out to San Francisco. Do you recall that?

MR. DAVIES: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. Did Mr. Brown seem to you to come -- that he'd like you to come to San Francisco?
- 9 A. There was discussion about coming to San Francisco.
- 10 Q. When -- you said no, it would be better if you came to 11 Gainesville, correct?
- 12 $\|A$. Gave him a call and told him to come to Gainesville.
- 13 Q. Good.

5

- And, in fact, that is ultimately what happened?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 \mathbb{Q} . Now, prior to that time, prior to the time that you --
- 17 that they accept your invitation to come to Gainesville, had
- 18 there been any activity, to your knowledge, by this group --
- this Martenyi and Vacca and those people -- had there been any
- 20 activity of them of any sort in Florida?
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 \mathbb{Q} . Any activity by them of any sort in Gainesville?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. And as I understand their scheme or scam or whatever we call it, it was to import -- somewhere out in the Pacific

- 1 Northwest -- some hashish and/or marijuana?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. As I understand it there was no plan to bring any of that
- 4 hashish and marijuana into Gainesville, was there?
- 5 A. No, sir.
- 6 Q. Nor was there any plan to bring any money into
- 7 ||Gainesville; was there, sir?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- Q. Now, did you ever go out to San Francisco or anyplace out
- 10 | west?
- 11 A. Not during the course of my undercover.
- 12 ||Q. Right.
- 13 After the arrests were made -- and you told us that
- 14 | the arrests really came with the arrest, I believe of first
- Mr. Grenhagen on the 12th day of October of '93; is that
- 16 ||correct, sir?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And then you -- you moved -- or your brother officers or
- 19 | brother/sister officers moved immediately against Martenyi and
- 20 | Vacca out in the San Francisco bay area; is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Now, actually from your standpoint as a professional DEA
- officer, what you wanted to do was for this -- this case you
- were working on out on the west coast, you wanted it to develop
- 25 | further; did you not?

- 1 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. You weren't really ready to have to make the arrests of
- Grenhagen and -- not Grenhagen -- but you were not really ready
- 4 at that point, or did not desire professionally at that point
- to make the arrests of Vacca and Martenyi particularly?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . And you couldn't make the arrest of Larkin, Mr. Duboc.
- 8 You didn't know where he was?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} . Now, the reason -- as I understand it -- that you
- 11 | arrested Mr. Grenhagen before, and then Vacca and Martenyi
- before you really wanted to, is because Mr. Grenhagen was
- himself engaged in some criminality that you felt, as a law
- enforcement officer, you had to stop right then and there and
- arrest him; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, we did.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . That particular activity of Mr. Grenhagen -- strike that.
- Now, Mr. Grenhagen, during the course of his
- 19 conversations with you, aside from talking about this West
- Coast, this Pacific Northwest marijuana-hashish smuggling
- 21 scheme, talked to you about some other totally independent
- schemes; did he not?
- A. Yes, sir, he did.
- Q. Included among the schemes that Mr. Grenhagen spoke to
- you about was a plan to try to defraud a bank through a bank

- loan he'd never pay back, correct?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. To try to defraud -- to try to utilize a fraudulent
- 4 Mexican bond as a security and rip people off that way; is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. That was as a down payment for a load that he wanted me
- 7 to take down to them.
- 8 Q. Okay. And that didn't have anything -- as far as you
- 9 | could tell -- to do with Martenyi or Vacca, did it?
- 10 A. No, sir.
- 11 \mathbb{Q} . Also, Mr. Grenhagen was talking to you about the plan to
- 12 | try to import some heroin or cocaine into Europe, correct?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And, again, that didn't have anything to do with the --
- so far as you could tell -- with Vacca or Martenyi or Larkin?
- 16 A. It did not have anything to do with them.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . And also I understand that there was some kind of a scam
- 18 or scheme involving a Sweedish bond that he was trying to
- 19 perpetrate; is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Now, take us, if you would, Agent, to this 12th day of
- October of '93. And tell us what were the factors that caused
- 23 you to have to move against Grenhagen and thereby move
- 24 precipitously against Vacca and Martenyi?
- 25 $\|$ A. A number of things happened involving Mr. Grenhagen. He

had bragged about being involved in a bank robbery in Sweden involving some Sweedish bonds.

Through correspondence with our agents there, there was, in fact, a bank robbery. In fact, it was the largest bank robbery in Swedish history. So they were very much interested in Mr. Grenhagen's apprehension.

Then Mr. Grenhagen got involved in the scheme where he was going to try and launder my money and rip off 20 million dollars out of the Sun Bank down in Miami.

One of the female agents from Miami came up and assisted me on that where we had meetings with some of Grenhagen's friends. And they actually signed a bank statement, cards and created a phony organization. And there was going to be a ripoff of 20 million.

And learning from Clifton Brown is actually the three guys that came over and Grenhagen were going to rob me of about seven million dollars.

So Grenhagen was, I mean, we -- he was a violent criminal that just needed to be stopped.

- Q. And you took -- in your professional judgment you took the decision to stop this man before he really did some serious harm to himself -- not to himself, but to you or to someone else, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And this incipient harm that he was about to do, again,

- didn't have anything to do -- as far as you could tell -- with Vacca, Martenyi or Larkin?
- A. It had nothing to do with them.
- Q. So this -- here is a man who is operating what we could describe as a totally separate conspiracy; is he not?
 - A. Yes, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

7 Q. Now, let's go to Matthew Martenyi for a moment.

I confess, I tried to listen to the tapes and I -- I know you had to listen to them, and you tried to do the best you could in terms of a transcript. But there is still a lot of inaudibility there, correct?

- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. But as far as you are concerned as a professional operating undercover, you knew that someone of the likes of Grenhagen or Martenyi -- strike that.

Let's just take Martenyi for a moment. You knew that somebody of the likes of Martenyi who was hoping to get involved in some criminal operations had the ability to possibly exaggerate what he had done or was capable of now doing; is that true?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. As a matter of fact, some of these people -- Martenyi being an example -- might just outright lie to you about what they have done or could do?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 And as good as you are at your job, you could never Q.
- really tell when Martenyi was telling you the real truth or 2
- when Martenyi is simply exaggerating or outright lying, could 3 4
- you?
- 5 Α. That's correct.
- 6 Also some of those people -- and this is an example of
- Grenhagen I suppose -- some of those people you have to 7
- encounter are absolute renegades. You know what I mean by 8
- 9 renegade?
- 10 Α. Yes, sir.
- Someone off doing their own thing independently 11
- separately from other people? 12
- 13 Α. Yes, sir.
- 14 And as far as you knew, Martenyi could be -- could be a Q.
- renegade himself, correct? 15
- 16 I don't think for him that I had that -- those thoughts. Α.
- 17 You did have them for Grenhagen? Q.
- 18 Α. Absolutely.
- 19 Now, Matthew Martenyi on the part of the transcript that Q.
- we saw that was audible was talking about the North Pacific. 20
- 21 There was -- actually those are two words that are actually
- transcribed in the transcript. Do you recall them, Agent? 22
- 23 Α. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Thank you.
- 25 Now, by the North Pacific, was it -- was it not your

impression that Mr. Martenyi was talking about Canada? 1 2 No. My impression was Washington, Oregon, that area of the Pacific Northwest. 3 I understand the Pacific Northwest of the United States. 4 But this was two words that he used that I want you to focus 5 on -- I'm not trying to put words in your mouth -- was North 6 7 Pacific. Those were the two words as opposed to the Pacific Northwest. That has a little different meaning; does it not? 8 9 In my discussion with him there was discussion about north. I took that to be Canada. Yes. Yes, sir. 10 And the thing about north being Canada is that 11 Martenyi, in fact, said that some of the operations that had 12 13 been occurring were, in fact, occurring in Canada 14 Yes, sir. The Dahlmann **-**CAMPUS INN 15 Ο. And, as a mat H fahn Knoch had been part ۲i 16 was bringing to you 17 operation up north, Canadian offloading 18 and another crew, y 19 of the organization-They unwells 20 marijuana back down Α. Yes, sir. Q. And you said: certainly not hand Α. Yes, I did. Q. One of the rea

21

22

23

24

25

because whatever cri

615 East Huron Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104 734-769-2200 Fax 734-769-6222 www.campusinn.com Whatever criminality was perpetrated, you wanted done or perpetrated in the United States, correct?

A. No. I think -- as I recall my conversations with him on the transcript, I didn't want that many boats bumping into each other.

You know, I didn't want the Coast Guard saying:
We've got a Canadian group going out there and an American
group and they are all meeting at one mother ship. What is
going on with that? They would head one direction, I would
head the other. I think that was my concern.

Q. Understood sir. Thank you.

You were -- there was a discussion on the tape about some action north of Washington. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And the Washington referred to presumably the state of Washington, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And immediately north of the state of Washington, of course, is Canada?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And you are not -- you did not want to get mixed up with 22 the Canadian load up in Canadian waters, correct?
- A. I don't know that I even considered not getting mixed up in it. I mean, if that was the last -- you know, the last straw, then I would have been happy to take it into Canada.

1 Q. Did you ever suggest to -- strike that.

Do any of the tapes or any of your DEA-6s reflect your having expressed your willingness to bring these planned and proposed loads of marijuana or hashish into Canada?

- A. No. I thought you were asking me subjectively your last question.
- Q. Well, that's a fair interpretation of it.

My question to you now is: Do your tapes or your reports or your notes reflect this willingness that you have just described of bringing these things, these drugs, into Canada?

- A. They reflect the contrary.
- 13 Q. They reflect you wanted to bring them into the United 14 States?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Now, you're familiar generally, professionally, with the -- with the drug laws of the United States because it's part of your job to enforce them, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you have become -- maybe as a result of this case or other cases -- somewhat familiar with the drug laws of Canada; have you not?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it is a fact, is it not, that the drug laws of Canada are a whole lot less harsh than our drug laws down here in

- 1 | America?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Now, is there anywhere in the -- in the tapes or your
- 4 transcripts, wherein there is an actual statement or an actual
- 5 | indication that an agreement, a meeting of the minds, has been
- reached with you and Martenyi with reference to these proposed
- drug smuggling activities out on the west coast, out on the
- 8 ||Pacific coast?
- A. In my meeting with Martenyi, the only agreement reached
- was he would take my proposal back to Larkin at that particular
- July 1 meeting.
- 12 Q. And that -- and then Mr. Larkin would be in -- that is
- more or less is you're saying -- strike that.
- You are -- in fact, you are in a negotiation, are you
- not, as an undercover operative?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And the negotiation means that you haven't quite gotten
- 18 to the point of agreement. Would you agree with me?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And in the negotiation, for example, they are offering
- 21 you 12 percent, something like that, if I understand it, and
- you say, customarily I get 25. Correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} . These negotiations are ongoing; are they not?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. As a matter of fact, those negotiations are not even concluded by the 12th day of October when you have to arrest these people; is that correct?

 A. Before the October 12th, because undercover meetings and these negotiations was a continuing process. The discussions
 - The final conversations were that there would be a meeting in January with another representative of their group to inspect my boats.
- 10 Q. And you hoped out of that January meeting would actually come an agreement?
- 12 A. Yes. And approval of my boats.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} . Which meant an agreement?
- 14 A. Certainly.

were continuing.

6

7

8

- 15 Q. Thank you.
- MR. KENNEDY: I have nothing further, Your Honor. I thank you, Agent.
- 18 | THE COURT: Mr. Daar.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. DAAR:
- 21 \mathbb{Q} . Good afternoon, Agent Lilley.
- 22 A. How are you, sir?
- 23 \mathbb{Q} . I'm fine. How are you?
- 24 A. Good.
- 25 Q. You were present in the courtroom during the opening

- 1 statement by the prosecutor in this case?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And do you recall he mentioned I think it was a figure of
- 4 | 60 million dollars that was seized from Claude Duboc?
- 5 A. Fifty.
- 6 Q. Fifty?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Where did that money go?
- 9 A. Into the asset forfeiture fund of the United States
- 10 Government.
- 11 \mathbb{Q} . And where does it go from there?
- 12 ||A. I don't know.
- Q. Does the Gainesville DEA receive any portion of that
- money?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. Does the Gainesville police department receive any
- portion of that money?
- 18 A. Yes, sir, they will.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . What portion of the money do they receive?
- 20 A. It hasn't been finalized yet. I don't know what they are
- 21 going to get. I believe they were put in for maybe 25 percent.
- 22 Q. You say they were put in, meaning they made a request for
- 23 25 percent?
- A. I'm sorry the -- the process of making the request is of
- 25 | 25 percent.

- 1 Q. Gainesville asks the government? Did I say the
- 2 government -- because of our role in this investigation, please
- give us 25 percent of the proceeds?
- 4 A. Yes. The United States does share back the proceeds of
- drug trafficking with local law enforcement agencies that work
- 6 with say us or the FBI.
- 7 Q. Okay. So that is -- my math would be what,
- 8 approximately, 12 million dollars would be 25 percent?
- 9 A. It could be. Yes, sir. I'm not -- I don't know what the
- final decisions are up in headquarters.
- 11 Q. So that would be a substantial amount of money to the
- Gainesville police department; would it not?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, that money that was seized would also become part of
- 15 statistics that are kept by the DEA, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And those statistics would include money seized and drugs
- 18 seized, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 \mathbb{Q} . And persons arrested?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And persons convicted?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And each DEA office all over the country keeps statistics
- 25 | like that, correct?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And those statistics go to Washington on some periodic
- 3 | basis, correct?
- 4 A. They go weekly to Washington. Washington keeps the
- 5 statistics for Congress.
- Q. And Washington uses those statistics to evaluate the
- 7 | effectiveness of each DEA office, correct?
- 8 A. In this case, it looks like they weren't looking at them.
- 9 Q. And in this case, they weren't looking at them because
- the fact that they said they were going to close the office?
- 11 A. Because we were unproductive, if that is what you are
- referring to is this article. Is that what we are talking
- 13 |about?
- 14 \mathbb{Q} . We are getting there.
- 15 A. Okay. That is what it is.
- 16 Q. But you said that they weren't looking at them?
- 17 A. You asked me if I make decisions on cases based on
- 18 statistics. And I disagreed with this guy in headquarters
- 19 saying we didn't make any statistics.
- 20 \mathbb{Q} . Okay. So you felt that the statistics provided to
- 21 Washington prior to this case that you brought to Gainesville
- were sufficient to justify the office's continuing existence?
- 23 A. All of us did. Sure.
- Q. Well, let's just talk in terms of money. Within the two
- years prior to this case, what is the largest seizure of money

- that occurred in the Gainesville DEA office? 1
- 2 The case -- one of the forfeiture cases that I was
- working on, in fact you have heard testimony about the month of 3
- August, because I was working another case in the month of 4
- August. So that case generated 22 million dollars, of which 5
- the Gainesville police did again receive a large amount of 6
- 7 money on that.
- 8 I see. Any other cases of that scope in the last two 9 years prior to?
- 10 In the last two years? I mean every agent in the office
- was working cases of significant magnitude. Now, not every one 11
- was a 20 million dollar seizure. 12
- Okay. So you are not really sure what they are? 13
- 14 I haven't gone back to review the statistics for those Α.
- 15 vears.
- 16 Okay. Now, you inherited this file on this fugitive
- 17 Brown, correct?
- 18 Α. Yes, sir.
- 19 And you read the file and made whatever -- did you make Q.
- any attempts to find him? 20
- 21 I continued to do teletypes and talk to the local
- officers in Ft. Lauderdale, because again, when I got here it 22
- was still ongoing. There were some forfeitures in that case. 23
- Some C. J. Brown partners had not been sentenced yet. So I 24
- 25 handled those.

And throughout the years this case has been passed from one agent to another too. You know, we had a significant extradition from Columbia. One of the other agents took that guy, which was Guerrimo Bueno. He was in this case,

theoretically, was mine, but I was busy, he took it.

Q. What was Mr. Brown's criminal history?

A. If you mean his FBI check and NCIC check, I don't remember. But there were no crimes of violence as I recall

because we -- we wouldn't have done what we did ethically with individuals with crimes of violence backgrounds.

Q. Do you consider possession of weapons to be a crime of violence?

A. I don't remember the context. If he is stopped by a police officer and it is in the glove box and they take it, I don't. Not for me

So if he is a pilot, which C. J. was, and he gets popped with having a gun in the airplane for legitimate business, it is different than was he is carrying that gun smuggling drugs at that time?

Q. My question to you is: If there were guns in the possession of Mr. Brown or his co-conspirators, would you consider that a crime of violence?

A. Yes, I would.

Q. So it's your understanding there were no guns involved in Mr. Brown's case, otherwise you wouldn't have dealt with him?

- A. No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying nothing is ringing a bell of his criminal history. You brought up the gun.
- Q. Okay. But, just so I understand, your office has a
- 4 policy of not engaging in negotiations with persons that commit
- 5 crimes of violence?
- A. No, we don't have that policy.
- 7 Q. You personally have that policy?
- 8 A. Every individual is looked at differently when they go to
- 9 cooperate with us. It goes through a series of approvals, not
- mine. I'm the low man on the totem pole. I make a request and
- 11 a number of supervisors review those. And we provided the
- 12 | criminal history of Mr. Brown with that.
- Also the prosecutor has to approve any people that
- work with us. I'm saying: I don't recall any significant
- 15 crimes of violence with Mr. Brown.
- 16 \mathbb{Q} . Okay. Now, prior to this meeting in which Mr. Brown
- comes in, was there some contact about the meeting that was
- going to concur?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 \mathbb{Q} . What did that contact consistent of?
- 21 A. Conversation with Mr. Mike Pasano representing Mr. Brown.
- 22 And Mr. David McGee, an assistant United States Attorney
- discussing setting up a surrender of Clifton Brown.
- Q. Now, did Mr. Brown have any conditions by which you would
- 25 have to meet before he surrendered?

- 1 A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. Did Mr. Brown understand that he was going to be arrested
- 3 prior to coming in?
- 4 A. I don't know what he understood prior to him coming in.
- Did you talk to his attorney?
- 6 A. No, sir, I didn't.
- 7 Q. The first time you talked to anyone, either Mr. Brown or
- 8 his attorney, is at the meeting?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} . Who brought it to your attention?
- 11 A. Mr. McGee.
- 12 \mathbb{Q} . What did Mr. McGee tell you?
- 13 A. I don't recall.
- 14 Q. Prior to the meeting, were you aware of what Mr. Brown
- 15 was bringing as bargaining chips?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you, along with the US Attorney assigned to the case,
- calculate Mr. Brown's exposure on the United States Sentencing
- 19 ||Guidelines?
- 20 A. I wouldn't think so, because Mr. Brown was indicted prior
- 21 to the guidelines.
- 22 Q. What was the year of his indictment?
- 23 A. I believe it was 1985. I believe the load came in in
- 24 $\|'84$. I may be a year off.
- 25 Q. At that time, there were guidelines with respect to how

- long someone would do a sentence as controlled by the Parole 1
- Commission; is that correct?
- 3 That's correct.
- And those guidelines just like the present guidelines 4 Q.
- that control the law today are driven by quantity in terms of 5
- drug cases, correct? 6
- 7 Α. That's correct.
- 8 And you were aware from knowing the case that Mr. Brown
- not only was charged with five thousand pounds of cocaine, but 9
- also had admitted to transporting 10 or 15 thousand pounds of 10
- 11 marijuana, correct?
- 12 When you say he admitted to that, that didn't come until
- after he turned himself in. 13
- 14 Q. But that came to your knowledge, correct?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 And you had some sense that under the parole guidelines,
- Mr. Brown, without your help, would probably spend the rest of 17
- his life in prison or close to it? 18
- 19 Α. Very close.
- 20 Now, once you learned that the bargaining chips that 0.
- Mr. Brown was bringing to this meeting consisted of two or 21
- three or four persons in the West Coast that were seeking an 22
- 23 offloader, and you testified that you decided to initiate the
- investigation, correct? 24
- 25 Α. Correct.