

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
GAINESVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

INDICTMENT

JOHN RICHARD KNOCK,
aka MICHAEL PHILLIP RYAN,
aka MICKEY RYAN,
aka JOHN RICHARD PHILLIPS,
CLAUDE LUIS DUBOC,
aka ANTHONY LARKIN,
aka HARRY SIMPSON, and
ROGER DARMON,
aka THE SHRIMP

94-01009

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES :

COUNT I

That from a date unknown but at least by January 1, 1982,
and continuing up to and including the date of this indictment, in
the Northern District of Florida and elsewhere, the defendants,

JOHN RICHARD KNOCK,
aka MICHAEL PHILLIP RYAN,
aka MICKEY RYAN,
aka JOHN RICHARD PHILLIPS,
CLAUDE LUIS DUBOC,
aka ANTHONY LARKIN,
aka HARRY SIMPSON, and
ROGER DARMON,
aka THE SHRIMP

FILED IN OPEN COURT THIS

March 10, 1994
CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT
COURT, NORTH. DIST. FLA.

did knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, confederate and agree with each other and with others to possess with the intent to distribute the controlled substance marijuana, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A)(vii).

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

COUNT II

That from a date unknown but at least by January 1, 1982, and continuing up to and including the date of this Indictment, in the Northern District of Florida and elsewhere, the defendants,

JOHN RICHARD KNOCK,
aka MICHAEL PHILLIP RYAN,
aka MICKEY RYAN,
aka JOHN RICHARD PHILLIPS,
CLAUDE LUIS DUBOC,
aka ANTHONY LARKIN,
aka HARRY SIMPSON, and
ROGER DARMON,
aka THE SHRIMP

did knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, confederate and agree with each other and with others to import into the United States from a place outside thereof the controlled substance marijuana, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 952 and 960(b)(1)(G).

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 963.

COUNT III

That from a date unknown but at least by January 1, 1982, and continuing up to and including the date of this indictment, in the Northern District of Florida and elsewhere, the defendants,

JOHN RICHARD KNOCK,
aka MICHAEL PHILLIP RYAN,
aka MICKEY RYAN,
aka JOHN RICHARD PHILLIPS,
CLAUDE LUIS DUBOC,
aka ANTHONY LARKIN
aka HARRY SIMPSON, and
ROGER DARMON,
aka THE SHRIMP

did knowingly and willfully combine, conspire, confederate and agree with each other and with others to transport funds from a place in the United States to a place outside the United States, which activity was to be conducted with the intent to promote the carrying on of a specified unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1956(a)(2)(A).

In furtherance of this conspiracy and to achieve its aims, the following overt acts were committed.

1. In or about the first two weeks of August 1993, Claude Duboc told Sonia Vacca to go to Hilton Hotel at the airport in San Francisco to meet one of Duboc's associates who would give drug proceeds to transport to Singapore.

2. In or about the first two weeks of August 1993, Sonia Vacca went to the Hilton Hotel, met Duboc's associate and picked up the drug proceeds.

3. On or about August 17, 1993, Sonia Vacca flew from the United States to Singapore.

4. On or about September 6, 1993, Sonia Vacca flew from

the United States to Singapore.

All in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1956 (g).

COUNT IV

CRIMINAL FORFEITURE:

1. The allegations contained in Counts I and II of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.

2. From their engagement in any or all of the violations of Title 21 of the United States Code as charged in this Indictment, the defendants,

JOHN RICHARD KNOCK,
aka MICHAEL PHILLIP RYAN,
aka MICKEY RYAN,
aka JOHN RICHARD PHILLIPS,
CLAUDE LUIS DUBOC,
aka ANTHONY LARKIN,
aka HARRY SIMPSON, and
ROGER DARMON,
aka THE SHRIMP

shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(a)(1) and (2) all of their interests in:

- A. Property constituting and derived from any proceeds the defendants obtained, directly or indirectly as the result of such violations;
- B. Property used and intended to be used in any manner or part to commit and to facilitate the commission of such violations.

3. If, as the result of any act or omission of the defendants, any of the property described above as being subject to forfeiture:

- A. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- B. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
- C. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- D. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- E. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendants up to the value of the above forfeitable property.

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.

A TRUE BILL:

Robert P. Scholz
FOREMAN

March 9, 1994
DATE

(for) David J. McFee
P. MICHAEL PATTERSON
United States Attorney

Thomas F. Kirwin
THOMAS F. KIRWIN
Assistant United States Attorney