

Feb. 2 '96 10:01

P. 1

*Anonymous
FAX sent to
Coullet N.Y.*

This information is totally credible. On the basis of this information, I am now making some very serious allegations against U.S. law enforcement employees and authorities.

1. In March/April 1994, Assistant U.S. Attorney Kirwin utilized and shared my original information, which was the basis for your Customs report, with Defense Attorney F. Lee Bailey, in order to convince DUBOC to cooperate with the U.S. authorities.
2. DUBOC'S "dream team" composed of Bailey, Robert Shapiro and Edward Shohat reportedly took a fee of between \$3.0 and \$5.0 million and immediately pled DUBOC guilty because these attorneys realized the information in the Customs Report, along with Sonia Vacca's potential testimony, could get DUBOC a life term, which he in fact deserves. Obviously this exorbitant legal fee came from DUBOC'S drug proceeds.
3. Bailey allegedly expects to receive a percentage or "finders fee" for all assets he turns over to the U.S. Government. Bailey illegally removed assets from France, violating French law, with the U.S. Government's concurrence.
4. DUBOC, with Bailey's assistance and Kirwin's knowledge, filed a false declaration with the U.S. District Court exculpating DUBOC'S family on the issue of knowledge of Claude DUBOC's drug trafficking activities, so that DUBOC'S former wife, Robin DUBOC, aka Robin Kimball, could hold onto over \$3.0 million in real estate located in Aspen, Colorado. Kirwin was clearly aware that Robin DUBOC was knowledgeable about Claude DUBOC'S activities and she was merely a "straw purchaser" of the Aspen real estate. In fact, Claude DUBOC is the true owner. Robin DUBOC is also receiving \$10,000 per month, tax free, as spousal support out of Claude DUBOC'S drug proceeds. DUBOC expects to receive a ten year or less prison term. His mere "flunky" employees, Nic Grenhagen and Calvin Robinson received twenty-four years and life without parole respectively.

Anonymous

2

IFL:

Mar 31, 95

14:24 NO. 005 P. 01

defraud the government. It should be noted for the record that Mr. Smith has been paid over one million dollars in rewards by DEA for information he has supplied and that his eligibility for and the amount of these rewards have been determined by the DEA headquarters in every case. It should be further noted that none of the foregoing cases involved in any way the Northern District of Florida and as such there would be no venue for the Northern District of Florida to pursue charges in any case.

Mr. Kerwin has apparently been unable to tie Mr. Swanson to any of these activities and as a result has now focused his investigation on Mr. Smith. It is my opinion this is an effort on Mr. Kerwin's part to find at least one person who may be able to be indicted somewhere for some crime to justify all of the misguided time and effort expended on this investigation. Mr. Kerwin's latest theory is that Mr. Smith may have some tax liability. In this regard he is apparently now pursuing a tax investigation of Mr. Smith with the Grand Jury in Florida. This is despite the fact that Mr. Smith files his taxes in Nevada and has recently undergone an IRS criminal division investigation in the District of Nevada which determined that Mr. Smith has no criminal liability for his taxes. Mr. Kerwin has had IRS agents in Florida obtain the Nevada tax file and has made veiled suggestions to grand jury witnesses that Mr. Smith somehow bought the results of the Nevada tax investigation. This has impugned the integrity of both the consultant who assisted Mr. Smith in this case (a former IRS supervisor now retired) and the IRS agent who conducted the investigation (also now retired). It is also another example of the cavalier attitude of Mr. Kerwin when it comes to making baseless accusations that impact the reputation of government agents with spotless records.

In addition Mr. Kerwin is also apparently undertaking a money laundering investigation of Mr. Smith regarding certain monies that Mr. Smith obtained from his drug trafficking activities and had outside the United States. It should be noted that this money was obtained prior to the enactment of the money laundering statutes. That Mr. Smith had earlier advised the Government of the smuggling activities from which this money flowed. That Mr. Smith received transactional immunity from the government in 1980 and use immunity from the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California in 1992 regarding that activity. In addition both DEA and the IRS were aware of this money and its source. All of these activities took place in Hawaii and California. It is apparently alleged that Mr. Smith utilized some of this money in building a residence and in his business. It should be noted that Mr. Smith's business Aloha Bio was used by Mr. Smith among other things as a sting operation in his cooperation with DEA. The residence was utilized as a prop in his

Anonymous

It should be clear from the above that the investigation of Mr. Smith is motivated not by legitimate law enforcement purposes but is in fact an effort by the prosecutor to justify his own past